

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/529,128	06/30/2000	GRAHAM FRANCOIS DUIRS	08059-0001	2910	
22852	7590 03/30/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	, HENDERSON, FAR	DEVORE, PETER T			
LLP 901 NEW YC	ORK AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ON, DC 20001-4413	3751			

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					$\epsilon$			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/529,128	3	DUIRS, GRAHAM	FRANCOIS			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Peter T. de		3751				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicati Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicateriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THI CFR 1.136(a). In no even tion. y period will apply and will by statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tirr expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ration to become ABANDONEI	<b>I.</b> lely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ F	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/18/06.							
2a)⊠ 1	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☐ This action is no	n-final.					
• —	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
4) \( \times \) (4) \( \times \) (5) \( \times \) (6) \( \times \) (7) \( \times \) (7)	Claim(s) 1-9,11-14 and 17-19 is/are pen a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6,8,9,11-14 and 17-19 is/are Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to.	rithdrawn from con rejected.	sideration.					
Applicatio	on Papers							
10)□ T ,	The specification is objected to by the Exthe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the the oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be correction is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for factorial All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doces.  2. Certified copies of the priority doces.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been uments have been ne priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-84) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/529,128

Art Unit: 3751

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 12-14, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kurz.

The Kurz reference discloses an internal substance delivery device (Figure 6) comprising a support frame 1, outwardly biased resilient arms (see col. 4, lines 40-44) which are capable of "interlocking" (see Figures 7 and 8), releasably receivable substance delivery means (the wound copper wire on the arms, see col. 4, lines 20-29), and a locator 7. Regarding claims 5 and 6, note that wire is flexible and has a round cross section). Regarding claim 9, see Figure 4.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurz.

Art Unit: 3751

The Kurz reference discloses a device as discussed supra, but remains silent as to the material of the support frame. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the support frame from nylon, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In Re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/18/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Kurz device does not have "separate hormone releasing components (i.e. pods) that can be added as necessary to the arms of the device as independent units, wherein the pods are attachable and releasable during treatment". This argument is in reference to the claim language "wherein each resilient arm is capable of receiving and releasing a substance delivery means capable of releasing substance into the body cavity." The claims themselves do not recite "pods", and the claimed attachability and releasability are not required "during treatment". It is the Examiner's position that the wires wound around each arm in the Kurz device can

Application/Control Number: 09/529,128

Art Unit: 3751

be considered "substance delivery means" which are "releasable" by virtue of being

unwound from the arms while the device is outside a body cavity and that the Kurz

device therefore reads on the disputed claim language.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter T. deVore whose telephone number is (571) 272-4884. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/529,128 Page 5

Art Unit: 3751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pd Pd

DÁVID J. WALCZAK ORIMARY EXAMINER